

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 \* \* \*

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) 2:09-CR-00261-PMP-RJJ  
8 Plaintiff, )  
9 vs. ) **ORDER**  
10 NATHAN DENT, )  
11 Defendant. )  
12 \_\_\_\_\_ )

13 On December 31, 2009, the Honorable Robert J. Johnston United States  
14 Magistrate Judge, entered a Report and Recommendation (Doc. #54) recommending  
15 that Defendant's Motion to Suppress (Doc. #25) be denied.

16 On January 4, 2010, Defendant filed an Objection to Magistrate Judge  
17 Johnston's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #55). To which Plaintiff United  
18 States filed a Response (Doc. #57) filed January 6, 2010. On January 8, 2010, the  
19 Court heard argument from counsel regarding Defendant's objections to the Report  
20 and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

21 In accord with provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local  
22 Rule IB 3-2 the Court has conducted a de novo review of the proceedings before  
23 Magistrate Judge Johnston relating to Defendant's motion to suppress. The Court  
24 finds that Defendant's objection (Doc. #55) should be overruled and the  
25 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Johnston (Doc. #54) should be Affirmed.

26 ///

DATED: January 14, 2010.

Philip M. Owen

PHILIP M. PRO  
United States District Judge